The full-time employment tax

TheoryGuru applications

(c) Copyright 2016 by JMJ Economics

Load Economicreasoning package only if it is not already loaded

```
If[Length@Names["PLTools`*"] < 10,
Get["http://economicreasoning.com"]]</pre>
```

Definitions

h_{notax} minimizes the non-tax average cost

```
definontaxmin = ACwoTax[h_{notax}] \le ACwoTax[h];
```

Tax-inclusive average cost

$$ACwTax[h_{]} := ACwoTax[h] + \frac{FT[h] T}{h}$$

A full-time employment tax: is positive if and only iff a full-time schedule

```
FTcheck[h_] := \{h \le 29 \Rightarrow FT[h] == 0, h > 29 \Rightarrow FT[h] == 1\};
```

U-shaped nontax costs

Results

If the cost-minimizing schedule is part time without the tax, then

- (a) the same schedule h_{notax} minimizes cost with the tax, and
- (b) the minimized cost is not affected by the tax

```
\label{eq:theoryGuru} TheoryGuru\,[\,\{defnontaxmin\,,\,\,FTcheck\,[\,h\,]\,\,,\,\,FTcheck\,[\,h_{notax}\,]\,\,,\,\,h_{notax}\,\leq\,29\,,\,\,T\,>\,0\,\}\,\,,
  ACwTax[h_{notax}] \le ACwTax[h] \&\&
    ACwTax[h_{notax}] = ACwoTax[h_{notax}]]
True
Column[Flatten[{FTcheck[h], FTcheck[h<sub>notax</sub>]}]]
h \, \leq \, 2\,9 \, \Rightarrow \, \text{FT} \, \lceil \, h \, \rceil \, \, = \, 0
h\,>\,2\,9\,\Rightarrow\,\text{FT}\,[\,h\,]\ ==\ 1
h_{notax} \le 29 \Rightarrow FT[h_{notax}] == 0
h_{\text{notax}} > 29 \Rightarrow \text{FT} [h_{\text{notax}}] = 1
```

If the cost-minimizing schedule is full time without the tax, then the costminimizing schedule with the tax could be longer still

```
TheoryGuru[{defnontaxmin, FTcheck[h], FTcheck[h<sub>notax</sub>], T > 0},
 ACwTax[h_{notax}] > ACwTax[h] \&\& h > h_{notax} > 29]
True for some, False for others
```

Any schedule h must fall in one of three categories:

- (a) it has no less cost than h_{notax} ,
- (b) it part time and achieves lower cost than full-time h_{notax} , or
- (c) it achieves less cost than full-time h_{notax} at a longer schedule

```
TheoryGuru[{defnontaxmin, FTcheck[h], FTcheck[h<sub>notax</sub>], T > 0},
 ACwTax[h_{notax}] \le ACwTax[h] | |
   (ACwTax[h_{notax}] > ACwTax[h] \&\& h \le 29 < h_{notax}) \mid |
   (ACwTax[h_{notax}] > ACwTax[h] \&\& 29 < h_{notax} < h)]
True
```

Any schedule h that is less costly than h_{notax} cannot be in between 29 and h_{notax}.

```
TheoryGuru[
 \{defnontaxmin, FTcheck[h], FTcheck[h_{notax}], ACwTax[h] < ACwTax[h_{notax}], T > 0\},
 29 < h < h_{notax}
False
```

Assuming U-shaped nontax AC, any part-time schedule h achieving lower cost than the full-time h_{notax} must either be:

(a) 29 hours per week, or

(b) not achieving the global minimum AC

```
TheoryGuru [ \{h \le 29 < h_{notax}, UshapedNontaxCost\},
 h = 29 \mid \mid (ACwoTax[h] > ACwoTax[29])
True
```

Version 2: The above is enough because cost rankings of part-time schedules do not depend on the FTET. But, to make it more explicit:

```
TheoryGuru [ \{0 < h \le 29 < h_{notax}, UshapedNontaxCost, \}
  FTcheck[h], FTcheck[29]},
 h = 29 | | (ACwTax[h] > ACwTax[29])]
The variable {True} is isolated and irrelevant to the conclusions.
Assumption 8 was therefore dropped.
True
```

Version 3: Because the schedules' comparisons with 29 hours are assumed directly, FTcheck is unnecessarily verbose:

```
TheoryGuru [ \{0 < h \le 29 < h_{notax}, UshapedNontaxCost, \}
  FT[h] = FT[29] = 0,
 h = 29 | | (ACwTax[h] > ACwTax[29])]
True
```

Variable interpretations