

Furman ratio without Cobb-Douglas

TheoryGuru applications

(c) Copyright 2018 by JMJ Economics

Background

Obama administration economists Furman and Summers claimed that only a fraction of the revenue loss from a corporate tax cut benefits labor. But the standard supply and demand model, which for these purposes is a generalization of long run behavior in the neoclassical growth model, says the opposite.

Here we prove that by machine, without assuming any specific functional form for the aggregate production function. k denotes the aggregate capital stock, $f[k]$ aggregate output gross of depreciation (the aggregate quantity of labor is fixed), and τ the capital-income tax rate.

Setup

Get@"<http://economicreasoning.com>"

Proof & Logic Tools 6.1

(c) Copyright 2016, 2017, 2018 by JMJ Economics

Type ERCommands for a list of commands in the package.

Introduction to Automated Economic Reasoning

Tutorials: Entering calculus General Mathematica tips

Get started Load extras Browse examples

Definitions

```
laborincome[k_] = f[k] - f'[k] k  
f[k] - k f'[k]
```

```

lrcapitalequilibrium = (* willingness to pay for capital *)
   $(1 - \tau) (f'[k] - \delta) = \rho$  (* LR willingness to supply it *)
   $(1 - \tau) (-\delta + f'[k]) = \rho$ 

signconditions = { $\delta > 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ ,  $f'[k] - \delta > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \tau < 1$ ,  $f''[k] \leq 0$ };

revenue[ $\tau_$ ,  $k_$ ] :=  $\tau (f'[k] - \delta) k$ 
   $\frac{\text{d} \text{laborincome}[k]}{\text{d} \tau}$ 
furmanratio[ $\tau_$ ,  $k_$ ] :=  $\frac{-\frac{\text{d} \text{revenue}[\tau, k]}{\text{d} \tau}}{\frac{\text{d} \text{laborincome}[k]}{\text{d} \tau}}$  (* Furman and Summers
  asserted that it does not make sense for this ratio to exceed one *)

```

Interesting but not necessary assumptions

```

elasticcapitaldemand =
   $D[k f'[k], k] > 0$  (* i.e., more capital means more aggregate capital income *)
   $f'[k] + k f''[k] > 0$ 

wrongsideoflaffercurve =  $\frac{\text{d} \text{revenue}[\tau, k]}{\text{d} \tau} < 0$ ;
fcd[ $k_$ ] =  $k^\alpha$ ;

```

Results

Taxation reduces the capital stock and the amount of labor income

```

TheoryGuru[{ $\frac{\text{d} \text{lrcapitalequilibrium}}{\text{d} \tau}$ ,
   $\frac{\text{d} \rho}{\text{d} \tau} \geq 0 = \frac{\text{d} \delta}{\text{d} \tau}$  (* the tax does not increase the willingness
  to supply capital or affect the depreciation rate *),
  signconditions[[3 ; ; -1]]},
   $\frac{\text{d} k}{\text{d} \tau} < 0 \wedge \frac{\text{d} \text{laborincome}[k]}{\text{d} \tau} < 0]$ 
]

```

True

Taxation reduces labor income more than it increases revenue

```
TheoryGuru[{\frac{dlrcapitalequilibrium}{d\tau}, lrcapitalequilibrium, \tau > 0, \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} \geq 0 == \frac{d\delta}{d\tau},  
signconditions},  

$$\frac{d(laborincome[k] + revenue[\tau, k])}{d\tau} < 0]$$

```

True

In the neighborhood of a zero tax rate, this comes from the effect (if any) of the tax on ρ

```
TheoryGuru[{\frac{dlrcapitalequilibrium}{d\tau}, lrcapitalequilibrium, \tau == 0, \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} \geq 0 == \frac{d\delta}{d\tau},  
signconditions},  
SameSign[\frac{d(laborincome[k] + revenue[\tau, k])}{d\tau}, -\frac{d\rho}{d\tau}]]
```

True

Either the Furman ratio exceeds one or the tax is reducing revenue

```
TheoryGuru[{\frac{dlrcapitalequilibrium}{d\tau}, lrcapitalequilibrium, \tau > 0, \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} \geq 0 == \frac{d\delta}{d\tau},  
signconditions, elasticcapitaldemand},  
furmanratio[\tau, k] > 1  
∨  
wrongsideoflaffercurve]
```

True

```
TheoryGuru[{\frac{dlrcapitalequilibrium}{d\tau}, lrcapitalequilibrium, \tau > 0, \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} \geq 0 == \frac{d\delta}{d\tau},  
signconditions},  
furmanratio[\tau, k] > 1  
∨  
furmanratio[\tau, k] < 0]
```

True

```
TheoryGuru[{ $\frac{d\text{lrcapitalequilibrium}}{d\tau}$ , lrcapitalequilibrium,  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\frac{d\rho}{d\tau} \geq 0 = \frac{d\delta}{d\tau}$ ,
  signconditions, elasticcapitaldemand},

furmanratio[ $\tau$ , k]  $\geq 1$ 
  v
  wrongsideoflaffercurve]

True
```

Cobb-Douglas, with $0 < \alpha < 1 \wedge k > 0$, satisfies the sign conditions

```

FullSimplify[f'[k] > 0 ∧
  f''[k] ≤ 0 ∧
  laborincome'[k] > 0 ∧
  elasticcapitaldemand
 /. f → fcd (* replace f with a Cobb-Douglas function *),
 0 < α < 1 ∧ k > 0]

```

Local Cobb-Douglas is a stronger assumption than elastic capital demand

Variable interpretations

Extensions of this model

Let part of the capital stock escape tax: pdf here and executable Mathematica notebook here.
Let the sellers of final goods have market power: pdf here and executable Mathematica notebook here.
General discussion of extensions here.