

Furman ratio without Cobb-Douglas

For discrete changes in the capital-income tax rate.

TheoryGuru applications

(c) Copyright 2019 by JMJ Economics

Background

Obama administration economists Furman and Summers claimed that only a fraction of the revenue loss from a corporate tax cut benefits labor. But the standard supply and demand model, which for these purposes is a generalization of long run behavior in the neoclassical growth model, says the opposite.

Here we prove that by machine, without assuming any functional form for the aggregate production function. k denotes the aggregate capital stock, $f[k]$ aggregate output gross of depreciation (the aggregate quantity of labor is fixed), and τ the capital-income tax rate.

Setup

In[3]:= Get@"http://economicreasoning.com"

Proof & Logic Tools 6.3

(c) Copyright 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 by JMJ Economics

Type ERCommands for a list of commands in the package.

Introduction to Automated Economic Reasoning

Tutorials:

Entering calculus

General Mathematica tips

Get started

Load extras

Browse examples

Definitions

In[3]:= **laborincome**[k_] = f[k] - f'[k] k

Out[3]= $f[k] - k f'[k]$

```
In[4]:= lrcapitalequilibrium[\(\tau\)_, k_] := (* willingness to pay for capital *)
  (1 - \(\tau\)) f'[k] == \(\rho\) + \(\delta\) (* LR willingness to supply it *)

In[5]:= signconditions =
  \{ \(\delta\) > 0, \(\rho\) > 0, k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0, 0 \leq \(\tau\)_1 < \(\tau\)_2 < 1, SameSign[f'[k_2] - f'[k_1], k_1 - k_2],
    (* concave production *) f'[k_1] (k_1 - k_2) < f[k_1] - f[k_2] < f'[k_2] (k_1 - k_2) \(\vee\) k_1 == k_2,
    SameSign[laborincome[k_2] - laborincome[k_1], k_2 - k_1],
    SameSign[f[k_2] - f[k_1], k_2 - k_1] \};

In[6]:= revenue[\(\tau\)_, k_] := \(\tau\) (f'[k] - \(\delta\)) k

In[7]:= furmanratio := 
$$\frac{\text{laborincome}[k_2] - \text{laborincome}[k_1]}{\text{revenue}[\tau_1, k_1] - \text{revenue}[\tau_2, k_2]}$$

```

Interesting but not necessary assumptions

```
In[8]:= elasticcapitaldemand = (k_2 f'[k_2] - k_1 f'[k_1]) (k_2 - k_1) \geq 0;

In[9]:= wrongsideoflaffercurve = (revenue[\(\tau\)_2, k_2] - revenue[\(\tau\)_1, k_1]) (\(\tau\)_2 - \(\tau\)_1) \leq 0;
```

Results

Taxation reduces the stock capital and the amount of labor income

```
In[10]:= TheoryGuru[\{lrcapitalequilibrium[\(\tau\)_1, k_1], lrcapitalequilibrium[\(\tau\)_2, k_2],
  Most@signconditions,
  k_2 < k_1 \(\wedge\) laborincome[k_1] > laborincome[k_2]]
```

Out[10]= True

Taxation reduces labor income more than it increases revenue

```
In[11]:= TheoryGuru[\{lrcapitalequilibrium[\(\tau\)_1, k_1], lrcapitalequilibrium[\(\tau\)_2, k_2],
  Most@signconditions,
  revenue[\(\tau\)_1, k_1] + laborincome[k_1] > revenue[\(\tau\)_2, k_2] + laborincome[k_2]]
```

Out[11]= True

Either the Furman ratio exceeds one or the tax is reducing revenue

```
In[10]:= TheoryGuru[{lrcapitalequilibrium[\[tau]1, k1], lrcapitalequilibrium[\[tau]2, k2],  
Most@Most@signconditions},  
  
furmanratio > 1  
∨  
wrongsideoflaffercurve]  
  
Out[10]= True  
  
In[1]:= TheoryGuru[{lrcapitalequilibrium[\[tau]1, k1], lrcapitalequilibrium[\[tau]2, k2],  
Most@Most@signconditions},  
  
furmanratio > 1  
∨  
furmanratio < 0]  
  
Out[1]= True
```