

A Local Maximum of a Concave Function is a Global Maximum

TheoryGuru applications

(c) Copyright 2016 by JMJ Economics

Load Economicreasoning package only if it is not already loaded

```
If[Length@Names["PLTools`*"] < 10,  
  Get["http://economicreasoning.com"]]
```

Setup

```
fisaconcavefunction =  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda) f[x] + \lambda f[y] \leq f[(1 - \lambda) x + \lambda y]$ ;  
(* for any x and y and  $\lambda$  *)  
  
xachieveslocalmax =  $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon[y] < 1 \&\& f[x] \geq f[(1 - \lambda) x + \lambda y]$  (*  
  x is any allocation.  
  y is any allocation not local to x.  
   $\epsilon[y]$  is the radius bounding what is local to x *);  
  
xachievesglobalmax =  $f[x] \geq f[y]$ ;
```

Result on Local vs Global Maximum

```
TheoryGuru[{fisaconcavefunction, xachieveslocalmax},  
  xachievesglobalmax]  
  
True
```

Proof is from Beck (2014, Section 8.1), and many others.

Variable interpretations

x and y are not necessarily real numbers

$$x = \text{🇺🇸};$$

$$y = \text{🇨🇦};$$

```
TheoryGuru[{fisaconcavefunction, xachieveslocalmax},
  xachievesglobalmax]
```

True

Column@MostRecentAssumption

MostRecentHypothesis

$$0 > \lambda \mid \mid \lambda > 1 \mid \mid \lambda f[\text{🇨🇦}] + (1 - \lambda) f[\text{🇺🇸}] \leq f[\lambda \text{🇨🇦} + (1 - \lambda) \text{🇺🇸}]$$

$$f[\text{🇺🇸}] \geq f[\lambda \text{🇨🇦} + (1 - \lambda) \text{🇺🇸}]$$

$$0 < \lambda$$

$$\epsilon \in [\text{🇨🇦}] < 1$$

$$\lambda \leq \epsilon \in [\text{🇨🇦}]$$

$$\{ f[\text{🇺🇸}] \geq f[\text{🇨🇦}] \}$$

This model only says that λ , $f[x]$, $f[y]$, $f[\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y]$, $\epsilon[y]$ are real numbers because those five appear as part of inequalities.

x and y appear in the model only as arguments of f and therefore could be vectors, flags, an abstract object, etc. It is up to the user to ensure that convex combinations of x and y are meaningful.

TheoryGuru only “knows” that $f[x]$, $f[y]$, and $f[\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y]$ are potentially distinct real numbers because the arguments of f are different. e.g., it automatically assumes that $f[x] == f[x]$ but not that $f[x] == f[y]$.

Setup for Unique Maximum

Strict concavity references equality. If x and y are, say, vectors rather than scalars, then $==$ should not be used because TheoryGuru interprets the arguments of $==$ as real numbers (e.g., by having the assumption $x == y$, then x and y are automatically interpreted as real numbers).

Here I define my own vector equality function SameVector (you could name it whatever you want), which I interpret as mapping pairs of vector to a Boolean according to whether the two vectors are the same.

```
Remove[x, y]
```

```
fisastrictlyconcavefunction = (Not@SameVector[x, y] & ^ 0 < λ < 1) =>
  (1 - λ) f[x] + λ f[y] < f[(1 - λ) x + λ y]; (* for any x and y and λ *)
xachievesglobalmax = f[x] ≥ f[(1 - λ) x + λ y];
yachievesglobalmax = f[y] ≥ f[(1 - λ) x + λ y];
```

Result: the Global Maximum of a Strictly Concave Function is Unique

```
TheoryGuru[{0 < λ < 1,
  fisastrictlyconcavefunction, xachievesglobalmax, yachievesglobalmax},
```

```
  SameVector[x, y]]
```

```
True
```

```
TheoryGuru[{0 < λ < 1,
  fisastrictlyconcavefunction, xachievesglobalmax},
```

```
  SameVector[x, y] ∨ Not@yachievesglobalmax]
```

```
True
```

Proof is from Beck (2014, Section 8.1), and many others.

Use the TheoryBooleans command to see which variable was (variables were) automatically recognized as Booleans.

```
TheoryBooleans
```

```
{SameVector[x, y]}
```

Variable interpretations