

A Supply Shifter Partially Identifies the Supply Slope

TheoryGuru applications

(c) Copyright 2018 by JMJ Economics

Load Economicreasoning package only if it is not already loaded

```
In[1]:= If[Length@Names["PLTools`*"] < 10,  
  Get["http://economicreasoning.com"]]
```

Proof & Logic Tools 6.3

(c) Copyright 2016, 2017, 2018 by JMJ Economics

Type ERCommands for a list of commands in the package.

Introduction to Automated Economic Reasoning		
Tutorials:	Entering calculus	General Mathematica tips
Get started	Load extras	Browse examples

Notes

Interpret the vector variables (quantity, price, z , u_s , u_d) as demeaned.

In the Wolfram Language, quantity.price refers to the tensor DOT PRODUCT, NOT scalar multiplication. For TheoryGuru purposes, tensor means vector, so that the result of quantity.price is a scalar.

Setup

Supply-demand system

```
In[2]:= u_s = quantity - beta_s price - gamma z;
        u_d = quantity - beta_d price;
```

```
In[4]:= SlopeAssumptions = {beta_s > 0, beta_d < 0};
```

```
In[5]:= Nontrivial = {u_s.u_s > 0, u_d.u_d > 0, z.z > 0, price.price > 0, quantity.quantity > 0, gamma != 0};
```

IV properties

```
In[6]:= zproperties =
```

$$\{z.u_s == 0, z.u_d == 0, \frac{(z.price)^2}{(z.z)(price.price)} < 1, \frac{(z.quantity)^2}{(z.z)(quantity.quantity)} < 1\};$$

```
In[7]:= gamma bounds = 0 <= L < gamma < H;
```

Define candidate bounds

```
In[8]:= DefineCandidates = {beta_L == \frac{L z.z - quantity.z}{-price.z}, beta_H == \frac{H z.z - quantity.z}{-price.z}};
```

Results

Demand slope is point identified

```
In[9]:= TheoryGuru[{zproperties, Nontrivial}, beta_d == \frac{quantity.z}{price.z}]
```

```
Out[9]= True
```

Supply shifter moves price and quantity in opposite directions

```
In[10]:= TheoryGuru[{zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions},
                    SameSign[-price.z, gamma]
                    ^
                    SameSign[quantity.z, gamma]]
```

```
Out[10]= True
```

Derive the supply slope's identified set

```
In[11]:= idset = TheoryPossibilities[{zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions,  $\gamma$ bounds},
  { $\beta_s$ , quantity.z, price.z, z.z, L, H},
  True]
```

You are in vector mode: include the hypothesis if it is necessary for classifying your assumption symbols as scalars vs. vectors.

```
Out[11]= L ≥ 0 && price.z < 0 && quantity.z > 0 && z.z > 0 &&  $\beta_s > 0$  &&
  quantity.z < H z.z + price.z  $\beta_s$  && L z.z + price.z  $\beta_s < \text{quantity.z}$ 
```

Equivalent way of writing idset

```
In[12]:= TheoryGuru[{zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions,  $\gamma$ bounds, DefineCandidates},
  0 ≤  $\beta_L < \beta_s < \beta_H \vee$ 
   $\beta_L < 0 < \beta_s < \beta_H$ ]
```

```
Out[12]= True
```

```
In[13]:= TheoryOverlap[
  {zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions,  $\gamma$ bounds, DefineCandidates},
  idset,
  0 ≤  $\beta_L < \beta_s < \beta_H \vee$ 
   $\beta_L < 0 < \beta_s < \beta_H$ ]
```

{quantity.z > 0 ∧ z.z > 0 ∧ $\beta_s > 0$ ∧ L ≥ 0 ∧ price.z < 0 ∧ are equivalent

```
Out[13]= quantity.z < H z.z + price.z  $\beta_s$  ∧ L z.z + price.z  $\beta_s < \text{quantity.z},$ 
  ( $\beta_s > 0$  ∧  $\beta_L < 0$  ∧  $\beta_s < \beta_H$ ) ∨ ( $\beta_s > 0$  ∧  $\beta_L < \beta_s$  ∧  $\beta_s < \beta_H$ ) ∨
  ( $\beta_L \geq 0$  ∧  $\beta_L < 0$  ∧  $\beta_s < \beta_H$ ) ∨ ( $\beta_L \geq 0$  ∧  $\beta_L < \beta_s$  ∧  $\beta_s < \beta_H$ ) }
```

Variable interpretations

Let the γ bounds be in units of β_s

```
In[14]:=  $\gamma$ bounds2 = 0 ≤ L  $\beta_s < \gamma < H \beta_s$ ;
```

```
In[15]:= DefineCandidates2 = { $\beta_L == \frac{\text{quantity.z}}{\text{price.z} + H z.z}, \beta_H == \frac{\text{quantity.z}}{\text{price.z} + L z.z}$ };
```

```
In[16]:= idset2 = TheoryPossibilities[{zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions,  $\gamma$ bounds2},
  { $\beta_s$ , quantity.z, price.z, z.z, L, H},
  True]
```

You are in vector mode: include the hypothesis if it is necessary for classifying your assumption symbols as scalars vs. vectors.

```
Out[16]= L ≥ 0 && price.z < 0 && quantity.z > 0 && z.z > 0 &&  $\beta_s > 0$  &&
  quantity.z < (price.z + H z.z)  $\beta_s$  && (price.z + L z.z)  $\beta_s < \text{quantity.z}$ 
```

Equivalent way of writing idset2

```

In[17]:= TheoryOverlap[
  {zproperties, Nontrivial, SlopeAssumptions,  $\gamma$ bounds2, DefineCandidates2},
  idset2,
   $0 \leq \beta_L < \beta_s < \beta_H$ 
   $\vee$ 
   $\beta_H < 0 < \beta_L < \beta_s$ ]

```

are equivalent

```

Out[17]:= {
  quantity.z > 0  $\wedge$  z.z > 0  $\wedge$   $\beta_s > 0 \wedge L \geq 0 \wedge$  price.z < 0  $\wedge$ 
  quantity.z <  $\beta_s$  (H z.z + price.z)  $\wedge$   $\beta_s$  (L z.z + price.z) < quantity.z,
  ( $\beta_L > 0 \wedge \beta_H < 0 \wedge \beta_L < \beta_s$ )  $\vee$  ( $\beta_L \geq 0 \wedge \beta_L < \beta_s \wedge \beta_s < \beta_H$ ) }

```